

#### Nonsymmorphic topological semimetals and interaction driven topological phases Chen Fang Institute of Physics

**Chinese Academy of Sciences** 

# Acknowledgements

- MIT
  - Junwei Liu
  - Liang Fu
- IOP
  - Zi Yang Meng
  - Ling Lu
- Renmin University
  - Han-Qing Wu (now at UC at Northbridge)
  - Yuan-Yao He
  - Zhong-Yi Lu

# Outline

- Nonsymmorphic topological semimetals
  - Helicoid surface states of a Weyl semimetal
  - Double-helicoid surface states of a nonsymmorphic Dirac semimetal
  - Tetra-helicoid surface states
- QAH driven by weak repulsion
  - Quadratic band touching on a checkerboard
  - Chern number and rotation eigenvalues
  - Diagnosis using exact diagonalization

# Nonsymmorphic symmetries

- A nonsymmorphic symmetry operation is the composition of a point group operation and a fractional lattice translation.
- Glide reflection = mirror reflection + in-plane halftranslation
- Screw rotation = rotation
  + half-translation along axis



# **Topological semimetals**



- Topological semimetals have the conduction and the valence bands crossing each other, and the crossings cannot be removed by perturbations preserving certain symmetries.
- At generic filling, the Fermi surface of a TSM has nontrivial topological number(s).

# Topological gapless band structure

- Enclose the band crossing with a surface in k-space, such that on the surface the bands are separated and the topological invariants are defined on the enclosing surface.
- It can also be considered as the topology of the Fermi surface at a generic filling.



# Weyl semimetals

- Breaking at least one of time-reversal and inversion
  - If T-breaking, 2n Weyl points
  - If P-breaking, 4n Weyl points
- Each Weyl node has either +1 or -1 monopole charge
- Near Weyl point the effective theory is the Weyl equations
- A Fermi arc connects the projections of a pair of Weyl points on the surface



Bernevig, Nat. Phys. (2015)

CF\*, L. Lu, J. Liu and L. Fu\*, Nature Physics 12, 936 (2016)

#### Helicoid surface state



#### Fermi arcs



#### Riemann surface

 A Riemann surface is a surface-like configuration that covers the complex plane with several, and in general infinitely many, "sheets." (from Wolfram MathWorld)



#### **Riemann surfaces for Weyl semimetals**



### **Dirac semimetal**

- A Dirac point can be considered as the superposition of two Weyl points with opposite Chern numbers at the same position in the momentum space.
- It takes additional symmetry (e.g., rotation) to prevent the annihilation of the Weyl points.
- On the surface, there are two counter-propagating spirals, but not stable against hybridization.



#### Kramers'-like line-degeneracy



#### Double-helicoid Riemann surface states

 $E(k) \sim \text{Im}[\log(k + k^{-1} + \sqrt{k^2 + k^{-2} - 2})]$ 



# Z<sub>2</sub> invariant in the bulk

- G\*T ensures the existence of a smooth gauge on the sphere
- (G\*T)^2=-1 at the BZ boundary allows to define the Pfaffians for the sewing matrix at two points on the sphere.
- A Fu-Kane-like formula in the presence of inversion





Nodal ring with surface arcs Nodal ring with new  $Z_2$ 



## (001)-surface states of (SrIrO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CaIrO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>



## Tetra-helicoid surface state

- Two glide planes and time-reversal ensures double degeneracy along two high-symmetry lines.
- A topological nontrivial dispersion can exist around M.
- No bulk invariant (conjecture).
- May be a filling enforced semimetal (conjecture).



K. Sun, H. Yao, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 046811 (2009)

#### **Quadratic band touching**



- C<sub>4</sub>-rotation eigenvalues are +i and –i at M, and time-reversal pins them together.
- The dispersion around M is quadratic in both directions and time-reversal ensures zero winding.

#### Mean field phase diagram

t'/t''=-1 3.0 16A, (0,0) NMI 2.5  $18B, (\pi, \pi)$  $24D, (0,\pi)$ 2.0 MF coexistence ₹ 1.5 MF 1.0 0.5 (c) QAH 0.0 N 0.0 t'/t 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.8 1.0

Phase	$C_4$	Т	Chern
QAH	Yes	No	+-1
NMI	No	Yes	0

H.-Q. Wu, Y.-Y. He, CF\*, Z. Y. Meng\*, and Z.-Y. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 066403 (2016)

Exact diagonalization





#### **Exact diagonalization** 3.0 16A, (0,0) NMI 2.5 **Results are suggestive** $18B, (\pi, \pi)$ $24D, (0,\pi)$ 2.0 of the two phases, but MF coexistence 1.5 ≥ MF but QAH correlation is too weak to be 1.0 conclusive. 0.5 (c) QAH 0.0 t'/t 0.6 0.2 0.8 0.4 1.0 0.0 0.038 1.0 (b) (a) 16A, N=8 0.036 18B, N\_=9 0.8 $\overset{\circ}{\overset{}_{HVO}}_{S}^{0.034}$ $S_{\rm NMI}/\,N_{\rm c}$ 0.6 0.4 0.030 16A, N\_=8 0.2 -18B, N\_=9 0.028 0.0 0 1 2 3 5 4 6

#### Anderson's tower of states

- Generically, the exact ground state has no symmetry breaking.
- The thermodynamic ground state comes from the superposition of exact eigenstates in the "tower of states"
- The "tower of states" are lower in energy than any elementary excitation, and represent a global motion.



#### Change of C<sub>4</sub>-eigenvalues





#### CF, Matthew J. Gilbert, and B. Andrei Bernevig, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115112 (2012) Chern number and rotation eigenvalues

- Chern number does not need any symmetry for protection, but symmetry can simplify its calculation.
  - No interaction:

$$i^{C} = \prod_{n \in occ} \xi_{n}(\Gamma) \xi_{n}(M) \zeta_{n}(X)$$

– Arbitrary interaction:

$$i^{C} = \xi(0,0)\xi(\pi,\pi)\zeta(\pi,0)$$
  
– Weak interaction (even-by-even lattice):

$$i^C = \xi(0,0)$$

Blue: C=+1, Red: C=-1, Green: C=0, Yellow: C=0

No superposition of states with different topological numbers

- The superposition is due to random local pinning field.
- Selection rule: the matrix element of any local operator between states with different Chern numbers must vanish, or one could change the topological number by using a local field.

$$H = J \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Omega | \hat{O} | \Omega \rangle & \langle \Omega | \hat{O} | \Omega' \rangle \\ \langle \Omega' | \hat{O} | \Omega \rangle & \langle \Omega' | \hat{O} | \Omega' \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

# Symmetry analysis

interaction	$\xi(0,0)$	SSB	Chern number
$V < V_c$	$\pm i$	TRS	±1
$V > V_c$	$\pm 1$	$C_4 \rightarrow C_2$	0

- For V<V<sub>c</sub>, since the two states have different Chern numbers, the GS cannot be a superposition, so it preserves C<sub>4</sub> but breaks TRS.
- For V>V<sub>c</sub>, the two states have both zero Chern number, so the GS is generically a superposition and breaks C<sub>4</sub> down to C<sub>2</sub>.

### Conclusions

- Nonsymmorphic topological semimetals
  - CF\*, L. Lu, J. Liu and L. Fu\*, Nature Physics 12, 936 (2016)
  - Glide plane along with P and T can protect Dirac points at BZ boundary;
  - Such Dirac points have PROTECTED surface arcs;
  - Dispersions of WSM and nonsymmorphic DSM can be mapped to noncompact Riemann surfaces;
  - $(SrIrO_3)_2(CaIrO_3)_2$  is predicted to be nonsymmorphic DSM.
- Interaction driven topological phases
  - H.-Q. Wu, Y.-Y. He, CF\*, Z. Y. Meng\*, and Z.-Y. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 066403 (2016)
  - Point group eigenvalues are related to the topological numbers (Chern numbers);
  - Thermodynamic ground state cannot be superposition of quantum states with different topological numbers;
  - Checkerboard lattice with quadratic band touching point enters QAH under weak repulsion.

#### Chern number by flux insertion

 $C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\phi_x d\phi_y (\partial_{\phi_x} \langle \Omega(\phi_x, \phi_y) | \partial_{\phi_y} | \Omega(\phi_x, \phi_y) \rangle - \partial_{\phi_y} \langle \Omega(\phi_x, \phi_y) | \partial_{\phi_x} | \Omega(\phi_x, \phi_y) \rangle$ 



The tower of states crosse with higher continuum at some flux, so that the calculation cannot proceed, maybe because the twisted boundary frustrates the current loops.

#### Twofold screw axis and inversion

Twofold rotation and inversion imply an atcenter mirror plane

 $C_2: (x, y, z) \to (-x, -y, z)$ 

 $M \equiv C_2 * P : (x, y, z) \to (x, y, -z)$ 

Twofold screw and inversion imply an off-center mirror plane

$$S_2: (x, y, z) \to (-x, -y, z + 1/2)$$
  
 $M \equiv S_2 * P: (x, y, z) \to (x, y, -z + 1/2)$ 





## Off-center mirror plane

• An off-center M does not commute with P.

 $M * P = T_z * M * P = e^{-ik_z}M * P$ 

• At kz=0, the degenerate pair have opposite mirror eigenvalues.

 $\begin{aligned} |\psi_2(\mathbf{k})\rangle &= P * T |\psi_1(\mathbf{k})\rangle \quad M^2 = -1 \quad M |\psi_1\rangle = +i |\psi_1\rangle \\ M |\psi_2\rangle &= M P T |\psi_1\rangle = P T M |\psi_1\rangle = P T (+i) |\psi_1\rangle = -i P T |\psi_1\rangle = -i |\psi_2\rangle \end{aligned}$ 

• At kz=pi, they have the same eigenvalues.

 $M|\psi_2\rangle = MPT|\psi_1\rangle = -PTM|\psi_1\rangle = -PT(+i)|\psi_1\rangle = +iPT|\psi_1\rangle = +i|\psi_2\rangle$ 

CF\*, Y. Chen, H.-Y. Kee and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 92, 081201(R) (2015)

## Accidental vs robust nodal lines

• At kz=0, crossings are accidental



• At kz=pi, line crossings are robust



