# Quantum criticality with two length scales 两尺度量子临界性

Wen-An Guo (郭文安)

Beijing Normal University

Institute of Advanced Study, Tsinghua, May 18, 2016

May 18, 2016



#### Collaborators

• Hui Shao (邵慧), Beijing Normal University (current: CSRC and BU PostDoc)

• Anders W. Sandvik, Boston University



References:

- 1. Science 354, 213 (2016).
- 2. PRB 91, 094426 (2015).

#### outline

Background

Unconventional scaling form with two-length scales

Quantum Monte Carlo methods

Numerical results

Anomalous critical scaling at finite temperature

Conclusions

# Thermal phase transitions

- At critical point, thermal fluctuations: divergent length scale leads to singularity
- Quantum mechanics is largely irrelevant



3D Ising FM-Paramagnetic transition (MC simulation)

The coarse grained continuum field description:

Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{\Phi}) = \int d\mathbf{r} ((\nabla \mathbf{\Phi})^2 + s \mathbf{\Phi}^2 + u(\mathbf{\Phi}^2)^2); \quad \mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D} \mathbf{\Phi} \ e^{-H(\mathbf{\Phi})}$$

 $\Phi$  is the order parameter, s is a function of T.

- Meanfield:  $\Phi^2 = -s/2u$  for  $T < T_c$   $(s \sim s'(T T_c))$ .
- well understood within Wilson's RG framework;
  - longrange order  $\langle \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle \neq 0$ : spontaneous symmetry breaking
  - universality class: symmetry and dimensions

#### Quantum phase transitions

- ▶ happens at zero temperature, when adapt *g* in  $H = H_0 + gH_I$ ; [ $H_0, H_I$ ] ≠ 0, continueous transition
- $\blacktriangleright$  at  $g_c$ , the correlation length diverges, due to quantum fluctuations
- ▶ path integral maps *D*-dim quantum systems onto classical field theories in (*D* + 1)-dim

$$S(\Phi) = \int d\mathbf{r} d\tau ((\partial_{\tau} \Phi)^2 + v^2 (\nabla_x \Phi)^2 + s \Phi^2 + u(\Phi^2)^2)$$
$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{-S(\Phi)}$$

 many of these transitions can be understood in the conventional Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson framework ► for example: AF Néel-Paramagnetic transition

 $H_0$  is AF Heisenberg Hamiltonian,  $g = J_2/J_1$ 



- 3D classical Heisenberg universality class: confirmed by QMC
- Experimental realized

However, many strongly-correlated quantum materials seem to defy such a description and call for new ideas

#### for example, continuous transition from Néel to VBS state

#### Deconfined quantum criticality

#### describes the direct continuous transition from Néel to VBS in 2D

Senthil, Vishwanath, Balents, Sachdev, Fisher; Science (2004)



• violates the "Landau rule":

- Néel-param should be in the 3D O(3) universality class;
- away from VBS should be in the 3D O(2) universality class.

(Z<sub>4</sub> anisotropy is dangerously irrelevant)

Léonard and Delamotte, PRL 2015

Néel order parameter  $\mathbf{m}_s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (-1)^{x_i + y_i} \mathbf{S}_i$  VBS order parameter  $(D_x, D_y)$   $D_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-1)^{x_i} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+\hat{x}},$  $D_y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-1)^{y_i} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+\hat{y}}$ 

#### New physics

• Order parameters of the Néel state and the VBS state are NOT the fundamental objects, they are composites of fractional quasiparticles carrying S = 1/2

# Physical picture from VBS side

Levin and Senthil, PRB 70, 2004

#### VBS: 4 symmetry broken ground states



similar to classical 4-state clock model

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j), \quad \theta_i = n\pi/2, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$$



# Physical picture from VBS side

Levin and Senthil, PRB 70, 2004



- At the core of the Z<sub>4</sub> vortex, there is a spinon: unpaired spin
- different from 4-state clock model



- Blue-shaded regions are domain walls
- The thickness  $\xi'$  diverges faster than  $\xi$
- emergent U(1) symmetry; same as 4-state clock model (Z<sub>4</sub>anisotropy is dangerously irrelevant)
- Spinons bind together in the VBS state (confinement) and condensate the Néel state, deconfine at the critical point leading to a continuous phase transition
- New universality: neither O(2) nor O(3)

## Deconfined quantum criticality

Field-theory description with spinor field  $\mathbf{z}$ 

• Order parameters of the Néel state are composites of spinons

$$\Phi = z^{\dagger} \sigma z$$

z: spinor field (2-component complex vector);  $\sigma$ : Pauli

- Non-compact *CP*<sup>1</sup> action
- Only SU(N) generalization can be sloved when N → ∞, nonperturbative numerical simulations are required to study small N
- The most natural physical realization of the Néel-VBS transition for SU(2) spins is in **frustrated quantum magnets** however, notoriously difficult to study numerically: sign problem in QMC

#### Designer Hamiltonian: J-Q model

Sandvik designs the J-Q model (2007)



Lattice symmetries are kept  $(J - Q_2 \text{ version similar})$ 

- large Q, columnar VBS
- small Q, Néel
- No sign problem
- ideal for QMC study of the DQC physics



#### Finite-size scaling

- Correlation length divergent for  $T \to T_c$ :  $\xi \propto |\delta|^{-\nu}$ ,  $\delta = T T_c$  (or  $g g_c$ )
- Other singular quantity:  $A(T, L \to \infty) \propto |\delta|^{\kappa} \propto \xi^{-\kappa/\nu}$
- For L-dependence at  $T_c$  just let  $\xi \to L$ :  $A(T \approx T_c, L) \propto L^{-k/\nu}$
- Close to critical point:  $A(T,L) = L^{-\kappa/\nu}g(L/\xi) = L^{-\kappa/\nu}f(\delta L^{1/\nu})$

For example

$$\chi(T, L \to \infty) \propto \delta^{-\gamma}$$

data collapse

$$\chi(T,L)L^{-\gamma/\nu} = f(\delta L^{1/\nu})$$

2D Ising model, use  $\gamma = 7/4, \nu = 1$  $T_c = 2/\ln(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sim 2.2692$ 

When these are not known, treat as fitting parameters



#### Finite-size scaling

- Correlation length divergent for  $T \to T_c$ :  $\xi \propto |\delta|^{-\nu}$ ,  $\delta = T T_c$  (or  $g g_c$ )
- Other singular quantity:  $A(T, L \to \infty) \propto |\delta|^{\kappa} \propto \xi^{-\kappa/\nu}$
- For L-dependence at  $T_c$  just let  $\xi \to L$ :  $A(T \approx T_c, L) \propto L^{-k/\nu}$
- Close to critical point:  $A(T,L) = L^{-\kappa/\nu}g(L/\xi) = L^{-\kappa/\nu}f(\delta L^{1/\nu})$

For example

$$\chi(T,L\to\infty)\propto\delta^{-2}$$

data collapse

$$\chi(T,L)L^{-\gamma/\nu} = f(\delta L^{1/\nu})$$

2D Ising model, use  $\gamma = 7/4, \nu = 1$  $T_c = 2/\ln(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sim 2.2692$ 

When these are not known, treat as fitting parameters



#### systematic critical-point analysis

• include corrections to scaling are included (RG theory); *u<sub>i</sub>* are irrelevant fields

$$AL^{\kappa/\nu} = f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, u_1 L^{-\omega_1}, u_2 L^{-\omega_2}, \dots)$$

Binder cumulant  $U = \frac{1}{2}(3 - \frac{\langle m^4 \rangle}{\langle m^2 \rangle^2})$ , dimensionless  $\kappa = 0$ 

$$U = f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, u_1 L^{-\omega_1}, u_2 L^{-\omega_2}, \dots)$$

• (almost) size-independent at  $T_c$  leads to crosssings at  $T_c$ 2D Ising model; MC results





Drift in (L, 2L) crossing points

scaling corrections in crossings

 $T^*(L) = T_c + aL^{-(1/\nu + \omega)}$ 

 $U^*(L) = U_c + bL^{-\omega}$ 

 $\omega$ : unkown correction to scaling, free exponent in fits



• correlation-length exponent  $\nu$ 

can be extracted from the slope of U:  $s(T,L) = \frac{dU(T,L)}{dT}$ 

$$\ln(\frac{s(T^*, 2L)}{s(T^*, L)}) / \ln 2 = \frac{1}{\nu} + aL^{-\omega} + \cdots$$





numerical study of the J-Q model

#### Many numerical results support DQC scenario

FSS of squared order parameter(A)

$$A(q,L) = L^{-(1+\eta)} f[\delta L^{1/\nu}], \quad \delta = q - q_c, (q = Q/(J+Q))$$

Data "collapse":  $M^2$  and  $D^2$  simutaneously  $\rightarrow$  single continuous transition!

- $J-Q_2$  model;  $q_c = 0.961(1)$  $\eta_s = 0.35(2); \eta_d = 0.20(2);$  $\nu = 0.67(1)$
- $J-Q_3$  model;  $q_c = 0.600(3)$  $\eta_s = 0.33(2); \eta_d = 0.20(2);$  $\nu = 0.69(2)$  Lou,Sandvik and Kawashima, PRB 2009
- Comparable results for honeycomb J-Q model

Alet and Damle, PRB 2013 Kaul et al., PRL 2014



#### However, scaling violation

Spin stiffness  $\rho_s \propto \delta^{\nu(d+z-2)}$  and susceptibility  $\chi \propto \delta^{(d-z)\nu}$ Conventional FSS

$$\rho_{s}(\delta, L) = L^{-\nu(d+z-2)/\nu} f(\delta L^{1/\nu}), \qquad \chi(\delta, L) = L^{-\nu(d-z)/\nu} f(\delta L^{1/\nu})$$
At critical point:  $\rho_{s} \propto L^{-(d+z-2)} = L^{-z}, \qquad \chi \propto L^{-(d-z)}$ 

$$z = 1 \text{ for } J \cdot Q \text{ model}, \rho_{s} L \text{ and } \chi L \text{ should be constants at } q_{c}$$

$$\int_{a_{d}}^{0.50} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.40} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.40} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.40} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.140} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.140} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.40} \int_{a_{d}}^{0.140} \int_{a_{$$

•  $z \neq 1$  does not work

- large scaling corrections? Sandvik PRL 2010, Bartosch PRB 2013
- weak first-order transition? Chen et al PRL 2013

The enigmatic current state is well summed up in Nahum PRX, 2015

In this talk, we will try to resolve this puzzle by

- introducing a new scaling form with two-length scales
- showing numerical evidences
  - direct simulations of the deconfinement of spions
  - critical scaling of VBS domain wall energy, spin stiffness and susceptibility
- anomalous critical scaling at finite temperature

Unconventional scaling form with two lengths

#### Unconventional scaling form with two lengths

Two divergent lengths tuned by one parameter:

$$\xi \propto \delta^{-\nu}, \quad \xi' \propto \delta^{-\nu}$$

Consider FSS of a quantity  $A \propto \delta^{\kappa}$ 

• Conventional scenario

$$A(\delta, L) = L^{-\kappa/\nu} f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, \delta L^{1/\nu'}), \quad A(\delta = 0, L) \propto L^{-\kappa/\nu}$$

 $L\to\infty, f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, \delta L^{1/\nu'})\to (\delta L^{1/\nu})^\kappa, \text{recovers } A\propto \delta^\kappa$ 

• We propose

$$A(\delta,L) = L^{-\kappa/\nu'} f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, \delta L^{1/\nu'}), \quad A(\delta = 0, L) \propto L^{-\kappa/\nu'}$$

when  $L\to\infty, f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, \delta L^{1/\nu'})\to (\delta L^{1/\nu'})^\kappa$  leads to  $A\propto \delta^\kappa$ 

**For example**: spin stiffness  $\rho_s \propto \delta^{\nu(d+z-2)}$ ,  $\kappa = \nu(d+z-2)$ . At  $q_c$ 

NOT  $\rho_s \propto L^{-(d+z-2)}$ , BUT  $\rho_s \propto L^{-(d+z-2)\nu/\nu'}$ 

phenomenological explanation of our scaling form

#### General scaling theory for $\rho_s$ , single length scale

Fisher et al PRB,40,546(1989)

Free energy density scales

$$f_s(\delta, L, eta) \sim \xi^{-(d+z)} Y(rac{\xi}{L}, rac{\xi^z}{eta}), \qquad \xi \sim \delta^{-
u}$$

•  $\rho_s \frac{\Delta^2 \phi}{L^2}$  is the excess energy due to a twist along apace:

$$\Delta f(\delta, L, \beta) \sim \xi^{-(d+z)} \tilde{Y}(\frac{\xi}{L}, \frac{\xi^z}{\beta}) \sim \rho_s \frac{\pi^2}{L^2}$$

•  $\tilde{Y}$  has to behave like  $(\xi/L)^2$ , thus

$$\rho_s \sim \xi^{2-(d+z)}$$

• replacing  $\xi$  to *L*, we have  $\rho_s \sim L^{-(d+z-2)}$ 

#### Two length scales scenario

Free energy density scales

$$f_s(\delta, L, \beta) \sim \xi^{-(d+z)} Y(\frac{\xi}{L}, \frac{\xi^z}{\beta}, \frac{\xi'}{L}, \frac{\xi'^z}{\beta})$$

• the excess energy due to a twist along apace:

$$\rho_s(\frac{\Delta\phi}{L})^2 \sim \Delta f(\delta, L, \beta) \sim \xi^{-(d+z)} \tilde{Y}_s(\frac{\xi}{L}, \frac{\xi^z}{\beta}, \frac{\xi'}{L}, \frac{\xi'^z}{\beta})$$

which means

$$\tilde{Y}_s \sim (\frac{\xi}{L})^a (\frac{\xi'}{L})^{2-a}$$

 The larger correlation length ξ' reaches L first, so L = ξ' we have a = 2, and

$$\rho_s \sim \xi^{-(d+z-2)}$$

but, since  $L = \xi', \xi$  saturates at  $\xi = L^{\nu/\nu'}$ ,

$$\rho_s \sim L^{-(d+z-2)\nu/\nu'}$$

Projector Quantum Monte Carlo method: ground state S = 0

Apply the imaginary time evolution operator to an initial state

$$U( au o \infty) |\Psi_0
angle o |0
angle$$

where  $U(\tau) = (-H)^{\tau}$  or  $U(\tau) = \exp(-H\tau)$ 

$$\left| \langle A \rangle = \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | U(\tau) A U(\tau) | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_0 | U(\tau) U(\tau) | \Psi_0 \rangle} \to \frac{\sum_c A_c W_c}{\sum_c W_c} \right|$$

 $A_c$  is the estimator of A.

#### Projector Quantum Monte Carlo method

using VB basis

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{v} f_{v} |v\rangle, \qquad |v\rangle = |(a_{1}, b_{1}) \cdots (a_{N/2}, b_{N/2})\rangle$$

$$\bigstar |\uparrow_i \downarrow_j \rangle - |\downarrow_i \uparrow_j \rangle / \sqrt{2}$$



- take  $U(\tau) = \exp(-\tau H)$ , SSE representation  $\rightarrow Z = \sum_{c} W_{c}$
- loop update algorithm are used



expectation values: transition graphs



 $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle = \{ \begin{array}{cc} 0, & (i)_L(j)_L \\ \frac{3}{4}\phi_{ij}, & (i,j)_L, \end{array}$ 

## study spinons

#### extend valence-bond basis to total spin S = 1 states

Tang and Sandvik PRL 2011, Banerjee and Damle JSTAT 2010

2S upaired "up" spins

• two spinons are two strings in a background of valence bond loops





study spinon bound states and unbinding

Numerical results

#### The two-spinon distance in the J- $Q_2$ model

size of spinon bound state  $\Lambda \equiv$  root-mean-square string distance



- suppose  $\Lambda \propto \xi' \propto \delta^{-\nu'}$ , according to our new FSS,  $\Lambda(q_c, L) \propto L, \Lambda(q_c, L)/L = \text{constant}$
- (L, 2L) crossing points converge monotonically

$$g^* - q_c \propto L^{-(1/\nu' + \omega)}, \quad \Lambda^*(L)/L - R \propto L^{-\omega}$$

 $1/\nu'$  can be extracted from slopes at the crossing point

• 
$$q_c = 0.04463(4), \nu' = 0.58(2)$$



Transition is associated with spinon deconfinement

#### The Binder ratio in the J- $Q_2$ model



Similar crossing-point analysis of the Binder ratio

$$R_1 = \langle m_{sz}^2 \rangle / \langle |m_{sz}| \rangle^2$$

- correlation length exponent  $\nu = 0.446$ , diffrent from  $\nu'$
- what is  $\nu'$  obtained from confinement length  $\Lambda$ ?
  - DQC theory: VBS domain wall thickness

$$\xi \propto (q-q_c)^{-
u}, \qquad \xi' \propto (q-q_c)^{-
u'}, \qquad 
u' > 
u$$

ν/ν' = 0.77(3) agrees with the result obtained from the VBS domain-Wall energy calculations suggesting ν' is the domain wall thickness exponent

## VBS domain-wall scaling in the critical J-Q model

• VBS domain walls are imposed in open-boundary systems

$$\phi = \pi/2 \qquad \qquad \phi = \pi$$

- $\pi$  wall splits into two  $\pi/2$  walls
- calculate domain-wall energy

$$\delta F = F_{wall} - F_{uniform}$$

$$\kappa = \delta F / L^{d+z-1}$$







#### Scaling of $\kappa$ at deconfined critical point

- domain-wall energy can be expressed as  $\kappa = \rho_s / \Lambda$  $\rho_s$  is a stiffness: energy cost of a twist of the VB order  $\Lambda$  is the width of the region over which the twist distributes.
- According to DQC theory,  $\rho_s \propto 1/\xi, \Lambda \propto \xi',$   $\kappa \propto \frac{1}{\xi\xi'} \propto \delta^{\nu+\nu'}$
- translate to finite size at  $q_c$ : When  $\xi'$  reaches L,  $\xi$  saturates at  $\xi'^{\nu/\nu'} = L^{\nu/\nu'}$

$$\kappa(q_c) \propto L^{-(1+
u/
u')}$$

we have  $\nu/\nu' = 0.72(2)$ 

• predicted by our scaling form:  $A(\delta, L) = L^{-\kappa/\nu'} f(\delta L^{1/\nu}, \delta L^{1/\nu'}), \quad A(\delta = 0, L) \propto L^{-\kappa/\nu'}$ 



# Compare to domain wall scaling in classical model 3D q-state clock model(q > 3):

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)$$

4

•  $\theta$  restriction:

domain wall energy in  $L \to \infty$ 

$$\kappa \sim \frac{1}{\xi\xi'}$$

But, finite-size scaling at  $T_c$  shows

$$\kappa \sim L^{-2} \neq L^{-(1+\nu/\nu')}$$



$$\xi \sim \xi'^{
u/
u'}, 
u/
u' pprox 0.47, 
u'$$
 is universal

Léonard and Delamotte, PRL 2015

4



#### The dangerously irrelevant perturbation in the J-Q model is more serious

#### Further evidence for unconventional scaling

according to our scaling form

$$\rho_s \sim L^{-(z+d-2)\nu/\nu'} \sim L^{-\nu/\nu'}, \quad \text{instead of } \rho_s \sim L^{-(z+d-2)} \sim L^{-1}$$
$$\chi \sim L^{-(d-z)\nu/\nu'} \sim L^{-\nu/\nu'}, \quad \text{instead of } \chi \sim L^{-(d-z)} \sim L^{-1}$$



• This explains drifts in  $L\rho_s$  and  $\chi L$  in J-Q and other models (z = 1, d = 2)

#### Anomalous critical scaling at finite Temperature

Quantum critical point at T = 0 governs the behavior in a T > 0 region which expands out from  $(g_c, T = 0)$ :  $\xi > \Lambda_T \sim 1/T$ ,  $\Lambda_T$  de Broglie wave length

experimentally important



#### Anomalous critical scaling at finite Temperature

- $\beta = 1/T$  is also a 'finite-size':  $L \rightarrow \beta^{1/z}$
- conventional scaling (z = 1 for J-Q)
  - $\xi \sim L$  leads to  $\xi_\tau \propto \beta^{1/z} = T^{-1}$ , •  $\chi \sim L^{-(d-z)}$  leads to  $\chi_T \propto \beta^{-(d-z)/z} = T$
- new scaling with  $\nu/\nu'$ :

 $\xi_{\tau} \propto T^{-\nu'/\nu}$ ;  $\chi \sim L^{-\nu/\nu'}$  leads to  $\chi_{\tau} \propto T^{\nu/\nu'}$ 



#### conclusions

- Two length scales observed explicitly in the J-Q model
- Simple two-length scaling hypothesis explains scaling violation of spin stiffness and susceptibility
- we obtained the spinon deconfinement exponent  $\nu'$
- For *T* > 0 we find scaling laws from finite-size scaling forms experimentally important

# Thank you !