Grassmann Tensor Product State & the Emergence of Topological

Superconductivity in 2D Strongly Correlated Doped Dirac Systems

(arXiv:1408.6820)

Zhengcheng Gu (Perimeter Institute)

Collaborators:

Dr. H. C. Jiang (Stanford)

Prof. G. Baskaran(C.I.T./PI)

Beijing. May. 2015

p+ip topological superconductivity in spinless fermion systems

A Majorana zero mode emerges in the vortex core

(N. Read and Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000))



Vortex carries non-Abelian statistics

• Topological quantum computation.(Kitaev, 1997)

But hard to be realized in nature

- Electron carries spin, spinless fermion is artificial.
- In BCS theory, a strong spin polarization will kill the superconductivity -- instability towards phase separation.
- How about strong coupling systems: spin-charge separation?

Outline

• An old problem: stability of Nagaoka Ferromagnetic in infinite-U Hubbard model on honeycomb lattice

- Numerical approach: Grassmann tensor product state
- Analytic approach: a controlled quantum field theory
- A new mechanism of superconductivity in strongly correlated Dirac fermions
- Towards experimental realization
- Summary and outlook

The infinite-U Hubbard model:

Repulsive Hubbard model

$$H = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + h.c. + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$
$$H_{t-J} = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\sigma} \tilde{c}_{j,\sigma} + h.c. + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j} - \frac{1}{4} n_{i} n_{j} \right) \quad \tilde{c}_{i\sigma} = \hat{c}_{i\sigma} (1 - \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i\bar{\sigma}} \hat{c}_{i\bar{\sigma}})$$

Infinite-U repulsive Hubbard model with a single hole:

A fully polarized ground state -- Nagaoka's Theorem (Nagaoka, 1966)

Unfortunately, Nagaoka's Theorem can not be generalized into finite doping.

• Nevertheless, Nagaoka state is an eigenstate of the infinity-U Hubbard model. It could be a good starting point for understanding correlated systems with spin-charge separation.

• If Nagaoka state becomes unstable, there is a big chance for p+ip topological superconductivity.

Recent numerical results:

Infinite-U Hubbard model on square lattice(DMRG)

• HMF=Half-Metallic Ferromagnetic=Nagaoka Ferromagnetic



Li Liu,Hong Yao, S White and S Kevilson, Phys. Rev. Lett.108, 126406 (2012)

DMRG calculation claims that Nagoaka state is stable up to 20% doping on square lattice infinite-U Hubbard model

Contradict to other results, e.g., series expansion.

How about honeycomb geometry?

Repulsive Hubbard model on honeycomb lattice

• It is a Mott insulator with AF ordering at half-filling

• It might be a d+id/p+ip superconductor at finite doping due to repulsive interaction and geometry



We first investigate infinite-U Hubbard model on honeycomb lattice by using (Grassmann) tensor product state algorithm.

Meanfield approach to many body systems

• The key concept is to find an ideal trial wave function, e.g., for a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system:

$$|\Psi_{trial}\rangle = \otimes \left(u^{\uparrow}|\uparrow\rangle_{i} + u^{\downarrow}|\downarrow\rangle_{i}\right)$$

• After minimizing the energy, we can find various symmetry ordered phases.



Meanfield theory has no long-range entanglement and fails for strongly correlated systems

Tensor product state(TPS)

MPS/DMRG(the best numerical method in 1D):



Properties of TPS:



• TPS faithfully represent non-chiral topologically ordered states (Z.C. Gu, *etal.*, PRB, 2008, O. Buerschaper, *etal.*, PRB, 2008)

• TPS faithfully represent symmetry protected topologically ordered states (X. Chen, Z.C.Gu, Z.X.Liu, X.G. Wen Science 338, 1604, 2012)

TPS have achieved great success in spin models

• Consistent with DMRG on frustrated magnets, e.g., J1-J2 model, Kagome Heisenberg model. (Ling Wang, Z C Gu, F Verstraete, X.G. Wen arXiv:1112.3331, Z.Y. Xie, *etal* Phys. Rev. X 4, 011025 (2014))

TPS for fermion systems

How to simulate fermion systems?

Treat fermion systems as ordinary hardcore boson/spin systems.



Fermion hopping terms are non-local in two and higher dimensions.



- $m_i = 0,1$
- Is it a fermionic wavefunction?



No

How to write down a wavefunction for fermionic systems?

fPEPS/Grassmann TPS

 A fermion wavefunction should give out the correct sign under different orderings.

 $|m_1 m_2 m_3 \cdots \rangle = [c_1^{\dagger}]^{m_1} [c_2^{\dagger}]^{m_2} [c_3^{\dagger}]^{m_3} \cdots |0\rangle \quad \Psi_f(\{m_i\}) = \langle m_1 m_2 m_3 \cdots |\Psi\rangle$

C V Kraus etal. 2009

Z C Gu etal. 2010

The magic of Grassmann algebra:



 $P(m_i) + P(a) + P(b) + P(c) = 0 (mod2)$

Grassmann TPS as a powerful tool to represent fermionic topological phases

• In 2+1D, Grassmann TPS faithfully represent different patterns of fermionic long-range entanglement, which leads to a classification of non-chiral (intrinsic) topological phases in interacting fermion systems. (Zheng-Cheng Gu, *etal*, arXiv:1010.1517(2010), Zheng-Cheng Gu, *etal*, Phys. Rev. B 90, 085140 (2014))

• In d+1D, Grassmann TPS even lead to a classification of symmetry protected topological phases in interacting fermion systems. A new type of topological superconductor beyond free fermion was predicted. New mathematics -- the group supercohomology theory was developed. (Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen, PRB 90, 115141 (2014))



A free fermion model:

Free fermion model on honeycomb lattice:

$$H = -2\Delta \sum_{\langle i \in Aj \in B \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} + H.c. + \mu \sum_i n_i$$
 (Z.C. Gu Phys. Rev. B 88, 115139 (2013))



- The energy is correct even with extremely small D for gapped systems.
- Truncation error is slightly larger for critical systems.

A simple interacting fermion model:

Spinless fermion with nearest neighbor attractive interactions on honeycomb lattice:

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(c_i^{\dagger} c_j + h.c. \right) - V \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j$$

(Z.C. Gu Phys. Rev. B 88, 115139 (2013))

- Grassmann TPS ansatz is randomly initialized, no pre assumption of superconducting order parameter.
- The p+ip paring pattern emerges during imaginary time evolution.



Doping	$n_f = 0.224$	$n_f = 0.313$	$n_f = 0.36$
$\Delta_a^{SC}/\Delta_b^{SC}$	(-0.4996, 0.8656)	(-0.4995, 0.8657)	(-0.4995,-0.8656)
$\Delta_b^{SC}/\Delta_c^{SC}$	(-0.5005, 0.8660)	(-0.5006, 0.8659)	(-0.5006, -0.8659)
$\Delta_c^{SC}/\Delta_a^{SC}$	(-0.4999, 0.8664)	(-0.4999, 0.8665)	(-0.4999,-0.8666)

Infinite-U Hubbard model

The HFM state is unstable!



N=2*36

relative error < 0.4%

- Almost fully polarized m~0.99 for doping < 0.2, but different from a simple HFM, and m=0 for doping > 0.2
- What's the true ground state?

A p+ip superconductor!



Doping	$\delta = 0.069$	$\delta = 0.102$	$\delta = 0.168$
$\Delta_{t;a}^{x,(y,z)}/\Delta_{t;b}^{x,(y,z)}$	(-0.500, 0.866)	(-0.500,0.866)	(-0.500, 0.866)
$\Delta_{t;b}^{x,(y,z)}/\Delta_{t;c}^{x,(y,z)}$	(-0.500, 0.866)	(-0.500, 0.866)	(-0.499, 0.865)
$\Delta_{t;c}^{x,(y,z)}/\Delta_{t;a}^{x,(y,z)}$	(-0.500, 0.866)	(-0.500, 0.866)	(-0.500, 0.866)

Finite but small J(large U)?

ground state energy(PBC)



Stability and instability of p+ip superconductivity



the p+ip order parameter decreases with increasing D
non Fermi liquid? the p+ip superconductivity can be stabilized by in-plane magnetic field

t/J=30



A minimal field theory model for infinite-U Hubbard model:

Slave fermion is a good starting point: $c_{i\sigma} = f_i^{\dagger} b_{i\sigma}$

• Add a small Zeemann field to break the spin symmetry to U(1).

$$b_{\uparrow} = \sqrt{\rho_0 + \delta\rho} e^{i\theta} \qquad b_{\downarrow} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \psi_a - \mu \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^0 \psi_a + \frac{\rho_0}{q} (\partial_\mu \theta - A_\mu)^2$$

• The linear dispersion relation for holon arises from the Dirac cone structure at 50% doping.

• In the XY limit, Ferromagnetic Goldstone mode has a linear dispersion in general.

Dual vortex representation in the dilute limit:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \psi_a - \mu \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^0 \psi_a + \frac{i}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} A_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda + \frac{g}{16\pi^2 \rho_0} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\nu a_\lambda)^2 + |(\partial_\mu + ia_\mu) \phi|^2 + m^2 |\phi|^2,$$

Cheap vortices/skyrmion -- beyond dilute limit!

Vortices/skyrmion current -- charge current interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CC} = j_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_{\nu} a_{\lambda}$$

$$j_{\mu} = i[\phi^*(\partial_{\mu} - ia_{\mu})\phi - \phi(\partial_{\mu} - ia_{\mu})\phi^*]$$

• Integral out emergent U(1) gauge field A:

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_{\nu}a_{\lambda} = 2\pi\overline{\psi}_a\gamma^{\mu}\psi_a$$

• Plug in the above constraint and integral out the vortex field:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_a - \mu \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^0 \psi_a \qquad (1) \\ + \left(\frac{g}{4\rho_0} + \frac{4\pi^2}{m}\right) (\overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\mu \psi_a)^2 + \frac{4\pi^2}{m} \left[\partial_\mu (\overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\nu \psi_a)\right]^2,$$

 $[\partial_x(\overline{\psi}_a\gamma^0\psi_a)]^2 \sim (n_i - n_{i+\hat{\delta}_x})^2 \sim -2n_i n_{i+\hat{\delta}_x}$ an attractive interaction!

A straightforward argument

Consider an almost fully polarized state

$$H = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1 - n_{i\downarrow}) c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} (1 - n_{j\downarrow}) + h.c. \qquad \langle n_{i}^{\downarrow} \rangle = \delta_{0} \ll 1$$

+ $t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1 - n_{i\uparrow}) c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} (1 - n_{j\uparrow}) + h.c.$
$$\simeq t (1 - \delta_{0})^{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} + h.c. + t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} S_{i}^{-} S_{j}^{+} + h.c.$$

 $(1 - n_{i\uparrow}) c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} = c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} = S_{i}^{-} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} \qquad c_{j\downarrow} (1 - n_{j\uparrow}) = c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} = c_{j\uparrow} S_{j}^{+}$
Express in terms of kinetic energy and current operators
$$H = t (1 - \delta_{0})^{2} \sum (c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} + h.c.) \qquad + \frac{1}{\kappa} (S_{i}^{-} S_{j}^{+} - S_{j}^{-} S_{i}^{+})^{2}$$

Spin-charge separation and Non-BCS mechanism: skyrmion current mediated superconductor

 The formal calculation in quantum field theory implies a new mechanism --- skyrmion current mediated superconductivity.

 Such a mechanism relies on spincharge separation and emergent U(1) gauge field, therefore it is beyond BCS theory.



 Condensation of skyrmion excitations leads to a potential non-fermi liquid!

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}' = \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \psi_a - \mu \overline{\psi}_a \gamma^0 \psi_a + \frac{1}{g'} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\nu A_\lambda)^2,$$

Possible realizations: $InCu_{2/3}V_{1/3}O_3$.

- S=1/2 AF on honeycomb lattice.(Phys. Rev. B 78, 024420 (2008))
- Doping: non Fermi liquid?
- Doping plus in-plane magnetic field: p+ip topological superconductor?



Orther systems:

- 3He absorbed on substrate.(PRL 109, 235306 (2012))
- Organic layer on graphene.(Nature Physics 9, 368 (2013))

Summaries and future works

 Grassmann TPS are unbiased variational states to study strongly interacting electron systems.

- We found strong numerical evidences that doped infinite-U Hubbard model on honeycomb lattice is a p+ip superconductor coexisting with ferromagnetic order.
- Based on a controlled quantum field theory calculation, we propose a non-BCS mechanism for such a superconductor
- We propose potential materials and experimental methods to realize a p+ip superconductor.

U/t: SM 4 d+id 50 NF 1000? p+ip (Z.C. Gu, etal., Phys. Rev. B 88, 155112 (2013),Z C Gu etal. in preparation)
Towards resolving the mechanism of high-Tc cuprates